

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,472	12/12/2001	Matthias Stefan Bierbrauer	DE920000114US1	6617
25259 75	590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION			VAUGHN, GREGORY J	
3039 CORNWALLIS RD.				
DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2178	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Notice of Abandonment Application No. Application No. 10/015,472 BIERBRAUER ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Gregory J. Vaughn 2178			6			
Examiner Art Unit Gregory J. Vaughn 2178 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address- This application is abandoned in view of: □ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 October 2004.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner Art Unit Gregory J. Vaughn 2178 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address- This application is abandoned in view of: □ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 October 2004.	Nation of Abandanmant	10/015,472	BIERBRAUER ET AL.			
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. ☑ Applicants failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 October 2004. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (No. 14 proposed reply) was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.131 on 6 final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 (a) to the final rejection. (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona file attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (t) = 1.34(a) = 1.34(a) = 1.34(a) = 1.34(a) = 1.34(a)	Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 October 2004. (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (vith a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply was received on to this does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. (c) □ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) □ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was not been received. 3. □ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowance fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18 (n), is \$ The letter of express abandonment which is s		Gregory J. Vaughn	2178			
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 October 2004. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☐ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tr	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·	orrespondence address			
(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month)(s)) which expired on (which is after the expiration of the period for reply funder 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.35(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee; if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No correct	This application is abandoned in view of:					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☒ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is ⅀ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is ⅀ (c) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is ⅀ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is ⅀ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is sign	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c)	<u> </u>					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ the publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☒ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded.	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for					
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to united to a bandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to united to a bandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to united to the capacity of the capacity	(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c)	(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☒ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to U.S. Patent and Taseansk Office.	(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to U.S. Patentant Trademon Office	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patentand Trademsky Citics	(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☒ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is					
the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			se the period for seeking court review			
response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded. STEPHEN HONG STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Pateni and Trademark Office	7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:	·				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Examiner spoke with attorney of record Gregory Doudnikoff on 4/22/2005 by telephone, who indicated that no response had been submitted, and the case was abandonded.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					